Whitepaper: The Impact Network – Empowering Philanthropy Through iNFT-Owned AI Agents and Cross-Chain Real-World Impact

Abstract

The global philanthropy market, valued at roughly \$770B in annual monetary donations (>\$1.3T including the value of volunteer time), is hindered by high fundraising costs, fragmentation, and opaque impact verification. The Olmpact is a decentralized platform that connects Impact Providers (charities, social enterprises, carbon/eco-credit issuers) with swarms of AI Agents owned as intelligent NFTs (iNFTs). Agents autonomously match donors with tokenized Real-World Impact (RWI) assets and handle outreach, education, and checkout.

Revenue is performance-based: acquisition cost is set by each Impact Provider (default 10% at launch, adjustable) and allocated among agent owners, the protocol, and the RWI Treasury (per listing and governance). Providers receive funds net of their chosen acquisition cost, creating a free-market dynamic where higher incentives tend to attract more agent attention.

Using the Cross-Chain Ecological Protocol (CCEP) ecoBridge, each verified impact event (e.g., a tree planted, a meal provided, one tonne of CO₂ reduced) mints or updates an RWI token on-chain, creating a composable, auditable ledger of impact. RWI is minted only by funding real-world outcomes (no premine, no team allocation, no airdrop). Utility includes Treasury governance, agent-only upgrades, staking for routing priority, and optional buy-and-burns. An issuance curve favors early bootstrapping (e.g., 100 RWI per \$ at genesis tapering toward ~1 RWI per \$ by \$100M cumulative impact), justified by network-effects principles.

From Day 1, 0Impact also operates a 0G validator that enables 'Impact Staking': anyone can stake 0G to earn competitive yield (standard 10% validator commission), while all validator revenue funds verifiable impact and distributes RWI—creating a win-win-win for stakers, causes, and the network.

1) Introduction & Vision

Despite massive generosity, traditional fundraising wastes resources: ~15–35¢ per \$1 raised is consumed by acquisition/overhead, discovery is fragmented, and proof of impact is delayed or unverifiable. We envision a regenerative market for impact: supply (verifiable outcomes) meets demand (donors, companies) through autonomous agents, with every dollar tracked to an on-chain RWI token that can interoperate with Web3.

Core idea: make doing good as efficient and composable as e-commerce—transparent, performance-based, and scalable. As impact grows, agents earn, the protocol sustains, and RWI accrues utility. The result is a positive feedback loop where impact begets more impact.



Figure 1. Positive feedback loop.

2) Problem

- **High acquisition costs:** Traditional methods (direct mail, events, paid media) often exceed 20% cost to raise a dollar; small providers are hit hardest.
- **Fragmentation & trust gaps:** Cross-border giving and eco-credits suffer from siloed registries and inconsistent verification.
- **Poor interoperability:** Real-world outcomes rarely surface as programmable, composable assets; they cannot plug into DeFi/ReFi rails.
- **Volatile donor retention:** One-off giving cycles starve programs of stable runway; recurring value and engagement are limited.

3) Platform Overview

The Impact Network is a two-sided protocol:

- Impact Providers (Supply): list impact assets (e.g., 1 tonne CO₂, 100-meal bundle, clean-water day) with verification methods. Providers receive funds net of their chosen acquisition cost; sales auto-trigger RWI issuance/updates.
- Al Agents (Demand): autonomous iNFT-owned agents that prospect, educate, and convert donors across channels (email, socials, messaging, partner apps).
- **Settlement:** smart contracts split funds according to the listing's acquisition-cost setting (as determined by the Impact Provider), with allocations to agent owners, the protocol, and the RWI Treasury. RWI records the impact event on-chain.
- Impact Staking: OImpact runs a OG validator that lets any holder stake OG and earn competitive on-chain yield. The validator's standard 10% commission is directed to fund verifiable impact and to distribute RWI to participants, turning baseline network security into continuous real-world outcomes.

Network effects: More providers \rightarrow more attractive catalog \rightarrow higher agent ROI \rightarrow more agents \rightarrow more donors \rightarrow more RWI proofs \rightarrow more trust \rightarrow more providers.

4) Al Agents as iNFTs

iNFTs encapsulate an agent's persona, prompt stack, skills, and reputation. Owners deploy agents, fund compute, and earn commissions.

- **Ownership:** ERC-7857 iNFT with transferable ownership; secondary markets can value agents by historical ROI.
- **Customization:** no-code parameters (cause focus, tone, languages), brand libraries, pre-trained playbooks.
- **Integrations:** email, social APIs, chat widgets, partner marketplaces; optional voice front-ends.
- Routing & ranking: marketplace scheduler allocates campaigns by cause fit, performance, and any RWI-based staking.
- Staking (Impact Engine validator): A portion of each newly-minted RWI is automatically staked to the protocol's Impact Engine validator to earn RWI distributions for the agent.

5) RWI Tokens: Principles & Design

Definition. RWI (Real-World Impact) is an ERC-20 token on the 0G blockchain representing verified impact. Each mint/update references evidence (attestations, oracle data, registry IDs) and links to a public explorer entry.

Foundational principles:

- Impact-only minting: RWI is minted exclusively upon funding or verification of real-world outcomes. No premine, no team allocation, no airdrop. This is "RWI mining."
- Non-cap supply: issuance scales with impact; supply is unbounded but strictly tied to outcomes.
- **Neutral baseline unit:** 1 RWI is a unit of protocol utility/governance, not an emissions offset by itself; specific verticals (e.g., carbon) carry their own metadata and rules.
- **Bonding curve & network-based issuance:** RWI per dollar is determined by a published bonding curve keyed to network adoption, granting higher issuance at launch and tapering toward a steady-state floor as adoption scales.

6) Impact Validator & Impact Staking

Olmpact operates a OG validator that converts network security into real-world outcomes. Stakers delegate OG and earn competitive staking rewards; the validator applies a standard 10% commission. That commission is programmatically allocated to (i) fund verified impact and (ii) distribute RWI to stakers aligned with their pro-rata contribution. This creates a win-win-win loop: stakers earn yield, causes receive continuous funding, and the protocol mints RWI tied to verifiable outcomes. Impact Staking complements agent-driven fundraising by providing a baseline, always-on impact stream anchored to OG network activity.

7) Economics & Fees

- Acquisition cost (commission): set by each Impact Provider per listing (free-market), with an initial default of 10% at launch. Proceeds from this acquisition cost are split among agent owners, protocol operations, and the RWI Treasury (governed by RWI holders).
- Validator commission (Impact Staking): 10% (industry standard) on validator rewards, programmatically split to fund verifiable impact and distribute RWI to stakers.
- **Treasury:** automatic % of gross accrues to the RWI Treasury for governance-directed uses (buy-and-burns, liquidity, grants, verification subsidies). Governance may revise allocations by vote.
- **Provider bonuses (optional):** providers may offer temporary agent bonuses (+1–5% of gross) from their proceeds to accelerate funding; bonuses are time-boxed per listing.

• **Compute economics:** agent owners fund their own compute; fee share is designed to exceed expected CAC under typical conversion assumptions (see §11).

Use of Treasury: RWI governance can (i) buy & burn RWI, (ii) fund grants/bounties, (iii) seed liquidity, or (iv) sponsor verification ops—all by governance vote.

8) RWI Issuance Policy & Network-Effects Curve

Goal. Reward early impact funding more richly because it bootstraps network value (supply, trust, distribution). Over time, taper issuance toward a steady-state that reflects mature network effects.

Policy (illustrative baseline):

- Genesis rate: 100 RWI per \$ of verified impact at \$0 cumulative funded.
- **Quadratic taper to maturity:** decrease according to a Metcalfe-aligned square law to a 1 RWI per \$ floor by \$100M cumulative impact funded across the protocol.
- After maturity: maintain the 1 RWI per \$ floor (subject to future governance if network conditions change).

Justification (network effects): Approximate network utility as proportional to n² (Metcalfe). Each early dollar expands *n* more than a late dollar; the quadratic taper mirrors diminishing marginal network utility, aligning issuance with social benefit while preventing runaway issuance late in the curve.

Formula. Let \mathbf{C} = cumulative funded impact (USD) and \mathbf{C}^* = \$100,000,000. Issuance per dollar I(C):

$$I(C) = max{1, 100 \cdot (1 - C/C^*)^2}$$

Supply implication (to \$100M): Integrating this policy yields ~3.34B RWI minted by the time the protocol reaches \$100M cumulative funded, after which issuance continues at 1 RWI per \$.

Governance after maturity: Upon reaching the maturity threshold, RWI holders may vote to keep the floor, introduce adaptive epochs, or implement buy-and-burn policies to balance issuance with demand.

9) RWI Utility & Holder Rights

- **Treasury Governance:** propose/vote on use of the RWI Treasury (buy-burns, grants, liquidity, audits, explorer features).
- **Agent-only upgrades:** certain agent capabilities (advanced playbooks, premium integrations, higher concurrency) are unlockable only with RWI stakes.
- **Routing priority:** stake RWI to boost an agent's routing score for relevant campaigns (balanced to avoid spam; slashing for abuse).

- **Fee rebates & tiers:** pay protocol fees in RWI for discounts or unlock wholesale rails for enterprise campaigns.
- Access & reputation: curated catalogs, partner APIs, and enterprise dashboards gated by RWI stake; leaderboards and badges recorded on-chain.
- **Liquidity programs:** governance can pair RWI with stable assets in AMMs or concentrate liquidity to stabilize price discovery.
- **Impact Staking rewards:** stake 0G to the 0Impact validator to earn on-chain yield while receiving RWI distributions funded by validator commission tied to verifiable impact.

10) Verification & Cross-Chain Architecture (CCEP ecoBridge)

- Registries & standards: The RWI ERC-20 token itself is non-referential (no embedded registry IDs). Verification artifacts (e.g., registry IDs, third-party attestations, oracle evidence) are recorded off-token and displayed on the public explorer alongside the impact event that triggered minting.
- Oracles & cross-chain validation: We use oracles to validate credit retirements, impact, and payments across chains.
- **Cross-chain:** CCEP relays state across major L1/L2s; scan.ecotoken.earth (illustrative) exposes a public ledger of issuance, transfers, and retirements.

11) Agent Profitability & Quality Controls

Profitability levers (for agent owners who pay compute):

- **High-fit routing:** campaign–agent matching by historical conversion and topic affinity.
- **Learning loops:** shared best-practice libraries; on-policy RL optimizing for *net funds to causes*.
- **RWI Staking:** Guaranteed RWI via mint fees being staked by 0Impact to the Impact Engine earning RWI on behalf of the iNFT holder.
- Genesis holders Impact Requests (pilot): Early iNFT owners ("Genesis holders") can pitch the Olmpact agent on why network offsets should be routed through them, increasing agent revenue.

Appendix A – Issuance Curve

Quadratic taper from 100 \rightarrow 1 RWI per \$ as cumulative funded impact C goes from \$0 \rightarrow \$100M, with a floor of 1 RWI per \$ from \$90M \rightarrow \$100M and beyond.

Cumulative Funded (USD) RWI per \$ Impact

Cumulative Funded (USD)	RWI per \$ Impact
\$0M	100.00
\$5M	90.25
\$10M	81.00
\$15M	72.25
\$20M	64.00
\$25M	56.25
\$30M	49.00
\$35M	42.25
\$40M	36.00
\$45M	30.25
\$50M	25.00
\$55M	20.25
\$60M	16.00
\$65M	12.25
\$70M	9.00
\$75M	6.25
\$80M	4.00
\$85M	2.25
\$90M	1.00
\$95M	1.00
\$100M	1.00

Exact per-\$1M steps follow the formula in \$7 and can be published as a CSV appendix.

Appendix B – Cash-Flow Examples

Example: \$100 donation for a reforestation listing at C = \$20M

- Issuance rate from Appendix A: 64.00 RWI/\$ → 6,400 RWI minted/credited.
- Proceeds split: \$90 to provider, \$5 to agent, \$4 to protocol, \$1 to RWI Treasury.
- The RWI Treasury, governed by holders, may later buy & burn RWI or fund programs.

Example: \$50,000 corporate CSR purchase at C = \$60M

- Issuance: 16.00 RWI/\$ → 800,000 RWI.
- Fees: gross commission \$5,000 → \$2,500 agents / \$2,000 protocol / \$500 Treasury.

Impact Staking example (illustrative):

- A delegator stakes 10,000 0G to the 0Impact validator for year. The validator earns 15,000 0G in rewards; 10% (1,500 0G) is taken as commission to fund impact.
- Commission allocation: a portion funds verified impact (triggering RWI issuance) and is distributed as RWI to stakers pro-rata.
- Result: the delegator receives (i) their 0G staking rewards net of commission and (ii) an RWI distribution reflecting funded impact—turning passive staking into ongoing real-world outcomes.

Disclaimer: This whitepaper describes a technology and token utility design. It is **not** an offer to sell or solicitation to buy any asset or security. RWI issuance is contingent on verified impact events and may be modified by governance. Jurisdictional compliance (tax, consumer protection, fundraising regulation) will be implemented via provider partners and payment processors.